# BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE PET FOODS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	) )	MDL Docket No. 1850	
•	)	•	

## PLAINTIFFS JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER AND JIM BULLOCK'S MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND COORDINATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §1407

Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter and Jim Bullock respectfully submit this joint motion before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation for an Order, under 28 U.S.C. §1407, that (i) transfers thirteen putative class actions, currently pending in the Western District of Washington, Western District of Arkansas, Southern District of Florida, Northern District of Illinois, Eastern District of Tennessee, District of Rhode Island, District of Connecticut, and the Central District of California, as well as any

These cases include: 1) Tom Whaley v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00411 (W.D. Wash.); 2) Stacey Heller, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00453 (W.D. Wash.); 3) Audrey Kornelius, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00454 (W.D. Wash.); 4) Suzanne E. Johnson, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00455 (W.D. Wash.); 5) Michele Suggett, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No.

cases that may subsequently be filed asserting similar or related claims, to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey; and (ii) coordinates these actions with the fifteen similar actions that are currently pending in the District of New Jersey.<sup>2</sup> In support of this Motion for Transfer and Coordination, Plaintiffs state as follows:

1. The class actions for which transfer and coordination are proposed arise out of the same conduct and allege virtually identical claims. Each action is brought on behalf of a class of purchasers of dog or cat food manufactured by Menu Foods and sold under various labels and alleges that Menu Foods produced contaminated or tainted pet food that sickened their dogs or cats and caused the death of many of them.

<sup>07-</sup>cv-00457 (W.D. Wash.); 6) Shirley Sexton v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01958 (C.D. Cal.); 7) Lauri A. Osborne v. Menu Foods Inc., et al. Docket No. 07-cv-00469 (D. Conn.); 8) Lizajean Holt v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00094 (E.D. Tenn.); 9) Carol Brown v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07cv-00115 (D.R.I.); 10) Dawn Majerczyk v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01543 (N.D. Ill.); 11) Christina Troiano v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-60428 (S.D. Fla.); 12) Charles Ray Sims v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-05053 (W.D. AK); and 13) Richard Scott Widen v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cy-05055 (W.D. AK) (hereafter the "13 Actions").

These actions include: 1) Jared Workman, et al. v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01338 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 2) Suzanne Thompson, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01360 (D.N.J.) (Sheridan); 3) Larry Wilson v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01456 (D.N.J.) (Hillman), 4) Paul Richard, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, Docket No. 07-cv-01457 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 5) Linda Tinker v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01468 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 6) Janice Bonier et al. v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01477 (D.N.J.)(Hillman); 7) Julie Hidalgo v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01488 (D.N.J.)(Hillman); 8) Alexander Nunez v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01490 (D.N.J.) (Hillman), 9) Mark Golding v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01521 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 10) Troy Gagliardi v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01522 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 11) Kami Turturro v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07cv-01523 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 12) Peggy Schneider v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01533 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 13) Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 14) David Carter v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01562 (D.N.J.) (Hillman); 15) Jim Bullock v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01579 (D.N.J.) (Hillman).

Case 1:07-cv-01522-NLH-AMD

- This motion is filed on behalf of plaintiffs in the following actions: 1) Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J.); 2) David Carter v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01562 (D.N.J.); 3) Jim Bullock v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01579 (D.N.J.) all of which are pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.
- The 13 Actions proposed for transfer herein are the only actions on file outside the District of New Jersey of which Plaintiffs are aware.
- 4. Plaintiffs propose that pretrial proceedings in the 13 Actions be transferred and coordinated in the District of New Jersey where 15 of the 28 related actions are currently pending.
- 5. The centralization of these actions in a single judicial district for coordinated pretrial proceedings will promote the just and efficient conduct of these actions, will serve the convenience of all parties and witnesses and will promote the interests of justice because all actions involve common factual and legal issues, including:
  - whether the Defendants' dog and cat food was materially defective and **a**. unfit for use as dog or cat food;
  - whether Defendants breached any warranties, express or implied, relating to the sale of the dog and cat food;
  - whether Defendants' dog and cat food caused Plaintiffs' and other Class members' pets to become ill and die;
  - whether Plaintiffs and other Class members have been damaged, and, đ. if so, what is the proper measure thereof;

Page 4 of 35

- what is the appropriate form of injunctive, declaratory and other relief.
- 6. Coordination of the actions before a single court will conserve judicial resources, reduce litigation costs, prevent potentially inconsistent pretrial rulings, eliminate duplicative discovery and permit the cases to proceed to trial more efficiently.
- 7. All actions are in the very early stages of litigation; no responsive pleadings have been filed nor has any discovery been conducted.
- 8. The proposed transfer and coordination in the District of New Jersey will be for the convenience of parties and witnesses, and will promote the just and efficient conduct of these actions because it is expected that plaintiffs' counsel in all actions will take discovery of the same witnesses and documents.
- 9. Transfer to the District of New Jersey is appropriate because 15 of the 28 related actions were filed there; the District of New Jersey has the resources and judicial expertise to promptly and efficiently conduct this case; the District of New Jersey is more easily accessible and conveniently located than any other district proposed and, most importantly, the manufacturing facilities where much of the contaminated pet food was processed and manufactured is located in the District of New Jersey.
- 10. Plaintiffs' motion is based on the accompanying memorandum of law, the filed pleadings and papers, and other materials that may be presented to the Panel before or at the time of any hearing in this matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Panel order that the 13 Actions, as well as any cases that subsequently may be filed asserting related or similar claims, be transferred to the District of New Jersey for coordinated pretrial proceedings. Dated: April 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

LINDA NUSSBAUM

CHRISTINE M. FOX

805 Third Avenue, 22nd Floor.

New York, NY 10022

Tel: (212) 687-1980

Fax: (212) 687-7714

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

LAURENCE D. KING

555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1501

San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: (415) 772-4700

Fax: (415) 772-4707

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger,

Carter, and Bullock

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

WILLIAM J. PINILIS

237 South Street

Morristown, NJ 07962

Tel: (973) 656-0222

Fax: (973) 401-1114

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carter and Bullock

**SCHNEIDER & WALLACE** 

TODD M. SCHNEIDER

180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000

San Francisco, CA 94104

Tel: (415) 421-7100

Fax: (415) 421-7105

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger,

Carter, and Bullock

Document 3-3

THE MASON LAW FIRM, L.L.P. GARY E. MASON DONNA F. SOLEN 1225 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 429-2290

Fax: (202) 429-2294

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMMER & GRAIFMAN GARY S. GRAIFMAN 210 Summit Avenue Montvale, NJ 07645 Tel: (201) 391-7000 Fax: (201) 307-1086

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

KARP, FROSH, LAPIDUS, WIGODSKY & NORWIND, P.A. JEFFREY A. WIGODSKY 1133 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 250 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 822-3777 Fax: (202) 822-9722

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

VANEK, VICKERS & MASINI P.C. JOSEPH M. VANEK 111 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 4050 Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: (312) 224-1500 Fax: (312) 224-1510

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

# BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE PET FOODS PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION	) MDL Docket No.1850
· .	) .
	)
•	

# PLAINTIFFS JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER AND JIM BULLOCK'S JOINT MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND COORDINATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C §1407

Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter and Jim Bullock submit this memorandum of law in support of their motion for transfer and coordination of related actions to the District of New Jersey under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

### I. FACTS

### A. Background

Defendant Menu Foods, a Canadian corporation doing business in the United

States, makes cat and dog food. Menu Foods' cat and dog food is sold under many

brands, including such familiar brand names as Iams, Eukanuba and Science Diet. Menu

Foods distributes its cat and dog food throughout the United States to retailers such as

Wal-Mart, Kroger and Safeway. These and other retailers also sell Menu Foods pet food under their own respective private labels.

Plaintiffs<sup>1</sup> assert their claims against Menu Foods as a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of all persons who purchased any cat or dog food that was manufactured by Menu Foods and whose cat or dog became ill or died as a result of eating such food. Certain of the pet foods that Menu Foods manufactured caused an unknown number of cats and dogs to become ill, and many of them to die. The current reported tally is over 100 pet deaths.

A typical example is plaintiff Pittsonberger's cat, Jada Katrina, who ingested Nutro Natural Choice pet food that was manufactured by Defendants during the relevant time period. After ingesting the contaminated food, Pittsonberger's cat became ill, was diagnosed with acute renal failure, and was immediately hospitalized. See Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J. filed April 2, 2007).<sup>2</sup>

To date, Menu Foods has recalled more than 50 brands of dog food and 40 brands of cat food that have sickened and killed dogs and cats. All recalled food to date is of the "cuts and gravy wet" style and was produced during a three-month period between

This joint motion is filed on behalf of plaintiffs in the following actions: 1) Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J.); 2) David Carter v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01562 (D.N.J.); 3) Jim Bullock v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01579 (D.N.J.) all of which are pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.

Plaintiff Carter's 8-week old pit-bull puppy, Jeezy, died just days after ingesting Nutro Natural Choice chicken rice and oatmeal formula pet food that was manufactured by Defendants. Plaintiff Bullock's 12 year-old cat, Marbles, had to be euthanized after suffering acute renal failure after ingesting three pouches of Special Kitty pet food that was manufactured by Defendants.

December 3, 2006 and March 6, 2007. While the contaminant in the recalled Menu Foods pet food has not yet been conclusively identified, preliminary testing at the New York State Food Laboratory indicates a rodent poison, aminopterin, which is banned in the United States, as the likely culprit.

Menu Foods' actions have injured Plaintiffs and other Class members, who seek to recover economic damages that include veterinary expenses, burial and cremation expenses, and other such losses.

#### The Menu Foods Contaminated Pet Food Class Actions В.

Following these events, at least 28 class action complaints were filed against Menu Foods. These lawsuits assert claims for injuries arising from the sickening and deaths of pets that had consumed Menu Foods' pet food sold under various labels:

- •Jared Workman, et al. v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01338 (D.N.J.);
- •Suzanne Thomson, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01360 (D.N.J.);
- •Larry Wilson v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01456 (D.N.J.);
- •Paul Richard, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, Docket No. 07-cv-01457 (D.N.J.);
- •Linda Tinker v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01468 (D.N.J.):
- Janice Bonier et al. v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01477 (D.N.J.);
- •Julie Hidalgo v. Menu Foods, Inc., Docket No. 07-cv-01488 (D.N.J.);
- •Alexander Nunez v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01490 (D.N.J.);
- •Mark Golding v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01521 (D.N.J.);
- Troy Gagliardi v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01522 (D.N.J.);

- •Kami Turturro v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01523 (D.N.J.);
- •Peggy Schneider v. Menu Foods Limited, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01533 (D.N.J.);
- Jayme Pittsonberger v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01561 (D.N.J.);
- David Carter v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01562 (D.N.J.);
- •Jim Bullock v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01579 (D.N.J.);
- •Tom Whaley v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00411 (W.D. Wash.);
- •Stacey Heller, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00453 (W.D. Wash.);
- •Audrey Kornelius, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00454 (W.D. Wash.);
- •Suzanne E. Johnson, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00455 (W.D. Wash.);
- •Michele Suggett, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-00457 (W.D. Wash.);
- •Shirley Sexton v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-01958 (C.D. Cal.);
- \*Lauri A. Osborne v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00469 (D. Conn.);
- \*Lizajean Holt v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00094 (E.D. Tenn.);
- •Carol Brown v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-00115 (D.R.I.);
- Dawn Majerczyk v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-01543 (N.D. Ill.);
- •Christina Troiano v. Menu Foods Inc., et al, Docket No. 07-cv-60428 (S.D. Fla.);
- •Charles Ray Sims v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-05053 (W.D. AK); and
- •Richard Scott Widen v. Menu Foods, et al., Docket No. 07-cv-05055 (W.D. AK).

These cases seek to recover damages on behalf of all persons whose cats and/or dogs became sick or died as a result of consuming pet food manufactured by Menu Foods. Submitted herewith is a Schedule of Actions Involved under 28 U.S.C. §1407 that lists the actions to be transferred and coordinated.

Plaintiffs seek to have the class actions pending in district courts outside of the District of New Jersey transferred to the District of New Jersey for centralization and coordination with the 15 class actions already pending in that jurisdiction. Transfer and coordination is appropriate because these cases involve common factual questions, transfer will further the convenience of the parties and the witnesses, and transfer will promote the just and efficient conduct of these actions.

The District of New Jersey is the appropriate place for transfer and coordination because the District has the resources and judicial expertise to properly conduct this case; defendant Menu Foods transacts business in the District; much of the contaminated food was manufactured by Defendant Menu Foods Inc., a New Jersey corporation with its headquarters in Pennsauken, New Jersey; 15 class actions are already filed there; and the District of New Jersey is easily accessible by all parties and counsel.

### II. ARGUMENT

A. Transfer and Coordination of All Menu Foods Contaminated Pet Food Actions for Coordinated Pretrial Proceedings Is Appropriate

28 U.S.C. §1407 authorizes this Panel to transfer two or more civil cases for coordinated pretrial proceedings upon a determination that: (i) they "involv[e] one or more common questions of fact," (ii) transfer will further "the convenience of parties and witnesses," and (iii) transfer "will promote the just and efficient conduct of the actions."

The requirements for transfer under Section 1407 are clearly satisfied here.

The Menu Foods contaminated pet food class actions are characterized almost entirely by common questions of fact. In addition, transfer and coordination will promote convenience for the parties and efficiency in the pretrial proceedings by eliminating duplicative discovery and the potential for inconsistent rulings, including determinations on class certification.

### 1. The Related Actions Involve Common Questions of Fact

The first requirement of Section 1407 – that the actions to be transferred involve common questions of fact – is satisfied. The factual issues to be determined in each of the actions proposed for transfer and coordination arise from the same course of conduct. See In re Neurontin Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig., 342 F. Supp. 2d 1350, 1351 (J.P.M.L. 2004); In re Publ'n Paper Antitrust Litig., 346 F. Supp. 2d 1370, 1371 (J.P.M.L. 2004).

Among many common questions of law and fact at issue in the related actions are:

- a. whether the Defendants' dog and cat food was materially defective, and
   unfit for use as dog or cat food;
- whether Defendants breached any warranties, express or implied, relating
   to the sale of the dog and cat food;
- c. whether Defendants' dog and cat food caused Plaintiffs' and other Class members' pets to become ill, and in some cases, die;
- d. whether Plaintiffs and other Class members have been damaged and, if so,
   what is the proper measure thereof; and
- e. what is the appropriate form of injunctive, declaratory and other relief.

The factual issues to be determined in all of the class actions are nearly identical, making transfer to a single forum highly appropriate. See, e.g., Neurontin, 342 F. Supp.

2d at 1351. In Neurontin, for example, the Panel ruled that there were common issues warranting transfer and coordination where "[a]ll actions [we]re purported class actions involving allegations that common defendants have engaged in the illegal promotion and sale of the drug Neurontin for "off-label use." Id.; see also In re Ephedra Prods. Liab. Litig., 314 F. Supp. 2d 1373, 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2004) ("[c]ommon factual questions arise because these actions focus on alleged side effects of ephedra-containing products, and whether defendants knew of these side effects and either concealed, misrepresented or failed to warn of them"); In re Columbia Univ. Patent Litig., 313 F. Supp. 2d 1383, 1385 (J.P.M.L. 2004) (common questions existed where "[all actions can thus be expected to share factual and legal questions with respect to the '275 patent concerning patent validity and related questions such as double patenting, prosecution laches and inequitable conduct").

#### 2. Coordinating the Class Actions Will Further the Convenience of the Parties and the Witnesses

Coordinating the class actions will meet the second requirement under Section 1407 because it will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses. It is expected that counsel for plaintiffs in all actions will seek documents from the same defendants on such issues as, inter alia, (a) where the recalled Menu Foods pet food was manufactured; (b) the manufacturing processes for the recalled Menu Foods pet food, (c) the intended ingredients of the recalled Menu Foods pet food; (d) the name, composition and character of the contaminant(s) of the recalled Menu Foods pet food that poisoned the Class members' cats and dogs, (e) the contaminant(s) pathway into the recalled Menu Foods pet food, and (f) when Defendants learned or should have learned that the recalled Menu

Foods pet food was contaminated. Issues such as these will be central in all of the class actions.

Because the actions arise from a common core of factual allegations, there is a strong likelihood of duplicative discovery demands and redundant depositions. Coordination of pretrial proceedings will enable a single judge to establish a pretrial program that will minimize the inconvenience to the witnesses and expenses to the parties. These savings are precisely the types of savings that this Panel has traditionally used to justify the coordination of pretrial proceedings in different jurisdictions. See, e.g., Neurontin, 342 F. Supp. 2d at 1351; Columbia Univ. Patent Litig., 313 F. Supp. 2d at 1385.

#### Transfer and Coordination Will Promote the Just and Efficient 3. Conduct of the Related Actions

Finally, transferring and coordinating these class actions is appropriate because coordinating the pretrial proceedings will promote the just and efficient conduct of the actions. In light of the nearly identical factual allegations, and especially given that discovery has not yet begun in any action, transfer under Section 1407 will avoid duplicative discovery and save judicial time and resources. See Neurontin, 342 F. Supp. 2d at 1351; In re Oxycontin Antitrust Litig., 314 F. Supp. 2d 1388, 1390 (J.P.M.L. 2004); Ephedra Prods. Liab. Litig., 314 F. Supp. 2d at 1375; In re Japanese Elec. Prods. Antitrust Litig., 388 F. Supp. 565, 567 (J.P.M.L. 1975); see also In re European Rail Pass Antitrust Litig., 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1417, at \*3 (J.P.M.L. Feb. 7, 2001) (ordering cases transferred to a single district to "eliminate duplicative discovery").

The plaintiffs in each action will seek to depose many of the same individuals from Menu Foods and its various affiliates and request production of a substantially

similar set of documents. Failing to coordinate pretrial proceedings in these actions will therefore result in duplicative discovery efforts, requiring witnesses to appear for multiple depositions and defendants to produce several sets of the same documents. The coordination of these actions would avoid the inconvenience and needless waste of resources. See In re Univ. Serv. Fund Tel. Billing Practices Litig., 209 F. Supp. 2d 1385, 1386 (J.P.M.L. 2002).

Moreover, the corresponding savings in time and expense would confer benefits upon both the plaintiffs and defendants. See In re Cygnus Telecoms. Tech., LLC Patent Litig., 177 F. Supp. 2d 1375, 1376 (J.P.M.L. 2001); In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Prods. Liab. Litig., 173 F. Supp. 2d 1377, 1379 (J.P.M.L. 2001); see also In re Amino Acid Lysine Antitrust Litig., 910 F. Supp. 696, 698 (J.P.M.L. 1995) (coordination is appropriate to "conserve the resources of the parties, their counsel and the judiciary"); In re Uranium Indus. Antitrust Litig., 458 F. Supp. 1223, 1230 (J.P.M.L. 1978).

Where, as here, coordination will avoid duplicative discovery and potentially conflicting pretrial rulings, transfer for pretrial purposes is warranted to promote the interests of judicial economy and efficiency.

- B. The District of New Jersey Is the Proper Forum for Coordinated Pretrial Proceedings
  - 1. The District of New Jersey Has the Resources and Judicial Expertise to Properly Conduct this Case

In selecting the most appropriate transferee forum for multidistrict litigation, the Panel considers, among other things, resources and judicial expertise. The District of

New Jersey has extensive experience in managing multidistrict litigation.<sup>3</sup> The District of New Jersey has an established track record of managing complex class action litigation.

Indeed, the Panel has specifically recognized that the District of New Jersey is equipped with the resources necessary to manage complex multidistrict litigation. See, e.g., In re Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1730 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Dec. 19, 2005) (in transferring litigation to District of New Jersey, Panel noted that "the district is well equipped with the resources that this complex antitrust docket is likely to require"); In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1663 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Feb. 17, 2005) (same).

2. The District of New Jersey Is Where Many of the Documents and Witnesses Will Be Located Since Much of the Contaminated Pet Food Was Processed and Manufactured in that District

The convenience of the parties and witnesses is a factor in determining to which district related actions should be transferred. 28 U.S.C. §1407(a) (related actions may be transferred to a district for coordinated proceedings upon a determination that the transfer "will be for the convenience of parties and witnesses and will promote the just and

MDL cases currently pending in the District of New Jersey include, but are not limited to the following: 1) In re Ford Motor Co. E-350 Van Products Liability Litigation (No. II), MDL-1687 (Sr. J. Harold A. Ackerman); 2) In re Human Tissue Products Liability Litigation, MDL-1763 (D.J. William J. Martini); 3) In re IDT Corp. Calling Card Terms Litigation, MDL-1550 (D.J. Susan D. Wigenton); 4) In re Holocaust Era German Industry, Bank & Insurance Litigation, MDL-1337 (Sr. J. Dickinson R. Debevoise); 5) In re Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1730 (D.J. Jose L. Linares); 6) In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1663 (C.J. Garrett E. Brown, Jr.); 7) In re Compensation of Managerial, Professional and Technical Employees Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1471 (C.J. Garrett E. Brown, Jr.); 8) In re K-Dur Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1419 (D.J. Joseph A. Greenaway, Jr.); 9) In re Neurontin Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1479 (Sr. J. John C. Lifland); and 10) In re Electrical Carbon Products Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1514 (D.J. Jerome B. Simandle).

efficient conduct of such actions"). In deciding whether a particular forum is convenient, the Panel may consider the location of the parties, documents and potential witnesses relative to that district. See In re Cigarette Antitrust Litig., 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8209, at \*4 (J.P.M.L. June 7, 2000).

This factor weighs heavily in favor of the District of New Jersey. Menu Foods Inc., where much of the contaminated food was processed and manufactured, is incorporated and located in New Jersey. Many of the witnesses and documents will be located in New Jersey – favoring selection of the District of New Jersey over the other courts proposed. See In re SFBC Int'l, 435 F. Supp. 2d 1355 (J.P.M.L. 2006) (litigation transferred to District of New Jersey where relevant documents and witnesses were located); In re Mirtazapine Patent Litig., 199 F. Supp. 2d 1380 (J.P.M.L. 2002) (same); In re Medical Resources Sec. Litig., 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 15832 (J.P.M.L. 1998) (same); In re Human Tissue Products Liability Litigation, MDL-1763 (D.N.J. Transfer Order June 21, 2006)(same).

3. The Majority of the Related Cases Were Filed in the District of New Jersey

Transfer to the District of New Jersey also is appropriate because 15 of the 28 related actions were filed there. Where a majority of related actions are pending also is

To date, the other courts proposed include: 1) Western District of Washington; 2) Southern District of Florida; and 3) Central District of California. As far as plaintiff is aware, few witnesses and documents, if any, would be located in any of these jurisdictions.

See also In re Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1730 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Dec. 19, 2005) (litigation transferred to District of New Jersey where defendant was headquartered); In re Merck & Co., Inc., Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litigation, MDL-1658 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Feb. 23, 2005) (same); In re Carbon Black Antitrust Litig., 277 F. Supp. 2d 1380, 1381 (J.P.M.L. 2003) (litigation transferred to district where defendant had its principal place of business).

relevant in selecting an appropriate forum. See In re Neurontin Antitrust Litigation,
MDL-1479 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Aug. 15, 2002) (in concluding that District of New
Jersey was appropriate forum, Panel noted that the majority of the actions were already
pending there before one judge); In re Carbon Black Antitrust Litig., 277 F. Supp. 2d
1380, 1381 (J.P.M.L. 2003) (in selecting appropriate forum one factor the Panel relied on
was that the majority of the actions were pending in the transferee court).

4. The District of New Jersey Offers an Accessible Metropolitan
Location that is Geographically Convenient for Many of the Parties
and their Counsel

New Jersey is a convenient forum for out-of-state witnesses and out-of-state counsel to reach by airplane. Three major international airports – Newark, John F.

Kennedy and LaGuardia - are located within a reasonable driving distance of the Newark and Camden courthouses where 15 of the related actions are currently pending. See In re

Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1663 (D.N.J. Transfer Order Feb. 17,

2005) (in concluding that District of New Jersey was appropriate forum, Panel noted that "this district offers an accessible metropolitan location that is geographically convenient for many of this docket's litigants and counsel."); In re Compensation of Managerial,

Professional and Technical Employees Antitrust Litigation, MDL-1471 (D.N.J. Transfer Order June 19, 2002) (in concluding that District of New Jersey was appropriate forum,

Panel noted that the district was an "accessible, urban district equipped with the resources this complex docket is likely to require").

### III. CONCLUSION

Coordination is necessary to avoid duplication and wasted efforts. Transfer to the District of New Jersey is appropriate because 15 of the 28 related actions were filed

there; the District of New Jersey has the resources and judicial expertise to promptly and efficiently conduct this case; the District of New Jersey is more easily accessible and conveniently located than any other district proposed and, most importantly, the manufacturing facilities where much of the contaminated pet food was processed and manufactured is located in the District of New Jersey.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Panel order that the 13 Actions listed herein (as well as any tag-along cases that may be subsequently filed asserting related or similar claims) be transferred to the District of New Jersey for coordinated pretrial proceedings.

Dated: April 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

KOBERT KAPLAN LINDA NUSSBAUM CHRISTINE M. FOX

805 Third Avenue, 22nd Floor.

New York, NY 10022 Tel: (212) 687-1980

Fax: (212) 687-7714

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP LAURENCE D. KING 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1501 San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: (415) 772-4700 Fax: (415) 772-4707

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP WILLIAM J. PINILIS 237 South Street Morristown, NJ 07962

Tel: (973) 656-0222 Fax: (973) 401-1114

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carter and Bullock

SCHNEIDER & WALLACE TODD M. SCHNEIDER 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel: (415) 421-7100

Fax: (415) 421-7105

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

THE MASON LAW FIRM, L.L.P. GARY E. MASON
DONNA F. SOLEN
1225 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 429-2290
Fax: (202) 429-2294

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMMER & GRAIFMAN
GARY S. GRAIFMAN
210 Summit Avenue
Montvale, NJ 07645
Tel: (201) 391-7000
Fax: (201) 307-1086

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

KARP, FROSH, LAPIDUS, WIGODSKY & NORWIND, P.A. JEFFREY A. WIGODSKY 1133 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 250 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 822-3777 Fax: (202) 822-9722

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

VANEK, VICKERS & MASINI P.C. JOSEPH M. VANEK 111 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 4050 Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: (312) 224-1500 Fax: (312) 224-1510

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

# BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

LIABILITY LITIGATION ) MDL Docket No. 1850 ) )	IN RE PET FOODS PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION	. )	MDL Docket No.1850	
--	---	-----	--------------------	--

SCHEDULE OF ACTIONS RELATED TO PLAINTIFFS
JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER, AND
JIM BULLOCK'S JOINT MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND
COORDINATION OF RELATED ACTIONS
UNDER 28 U.S.C. §1407

A STORE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	े देश।इग्र	U\$(0)≈}/(¢}[[	ā)\k\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	Supplied the second state of the second seco
自動 医三种形式 医鼻髓 医风息 经销售 化水流 医腺素 经有效的 化二甲基苯酚 化二甲基苯酚				
ean Stens Innet	Continuing	shoate Files	HASCHEOLOGI	
			40/44244	
	Links to the			
Central District of California			\$	
Shirley Sexton	CDCA-	3/26/2007	07-ev-01958	Assigned to: Judge George H. King
V	(Western	5/20/2001	01-61-01930	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Andrew J.
Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods		1 .		
		1		Wistrich
Midwest Corporation	Los			
*	Angeles)		<del></del>	
District of Connecticut				<u> </u>
Lauri A. Osbome	DCT-	3/26/2007	07-cv-00469	Assigned to: Judge Robert N. Chatigny
ν.	New Haven			
Menu Foods Inc.	İ	ŀ		
			1	·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				2"
District of New Jersey		-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Jared Workman, Mark Cohen, Mona Cohen	DNJ-	3/23/2007		Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
**************************************		3/23/2007	701-04-01336	
V.	Camden	l .		Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Don
Menu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., and Menu Foods		1	1 :	
Midwest Corporation	· .	1		
		<u> </u>	1.	
Suzanne Thomson, Robert Trautmann	DNJ -	3/23/2007	07-cv-01360	Assigned to: Judge Peter G. Sheridan
V. Carlos	Newark			Referred to: Magistrate Judge Esther Salas
Menu Foods Income Fund, John Does 1-100				
		i .		
Larry Wilson	DNJ -	3/27/2007	07:cv-01456	Assigned to: Judge Noel L, Hillman
	Camden	J	1 37 35 3 1 7 30	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Don
V. Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods	- Camuen			Properties for Madian Sie Odolie Will Marie Doug
	;	Ì		
Holdings, Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corp., Xuzhou Anying				•
Biologic Technology Development Company Ltd., Suzhou	ļ :		1	İ
Textile Import and Export Company	<b>l</b> '			· ·
	٠,	,		
				<u>                                     </u>
Paul Richard, Jennifer Richard, Charles Kohler, Alicia	· · · DNJ -	3/27/2007	07-cv-01457	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
Kohler :	Camden	, ,	-	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Doni
			1	
Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods Limited, Menu				
Foods Holdings, Inc., Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods				
Midwest Corp., Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc., ABC			ļ	
	·	i		·
Partnerships, XYZ Corps.		· /	•	
	27771			<u> </u>
Inda Tinker	DNJ	3/26/2007	07-cv-01468	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
<i>,</i>	Camden			Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Doni
Menu Foods Inc.	ſ		: ' -	
18a1	• ,		1.1.7	
lanice Bonier, Guy Britton, Tammy Matthews	DNJ -	3/29/2007	07-cv-01477	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
	Camden	3,25,2501	3. 3. 3. 3.	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donk
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Income Funds, Menu Foods	Camach	•		Transition to the Biogene and Re Unit Midtle Dollin
Aidwest Corp.	.		,	
mumoor Culp.	· [			•
W-10-4		0.000		
ulie Hidalgo	DNJ -	3/29/2007	.07-cv-01488	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
	Camden			Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marle Donic
itenu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods	. [			
lidwest Corp., Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.		н		
	ĺ			
lexander Nunez	DNJ -	3/29/2007	07-cv-01490	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
ioxerios (serios	Camden			Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donic
lenu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods	·	. '		' comen of the management and a will make Doubt
	· [		<b> </b>	
Midwest Corp., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods	.			
outh Dakota, Inc., Menu Foods Holdings, Inc.		ſ	·	
years at a				
lark Golding	DNJ -	3/30/2007		Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
. ". "	Camden	.		Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Donic
lenu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods	[*		1	•
fidwest Corp., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods		ŀ	.	
outh Dakota, inc., Menu Foods Holdings, Inc., Does 1	. [			
hrough 100	ĺ	J	·	
inough 100	. [	: I	5.6	

Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP . 1 of 3

		/45@-7:@iii(		
(Sunstalibuto Cajullorius des automobiles de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la company	- Esmícialo	i lesomo	Casallan	ran caracinal caracinates
Troy Gagliardi	DNJ -	3/30/2007	07-cv-01522	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
v. Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods	Camden		·	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Do
Midwest Corp., Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.	']			
manust ocipi, mora i ocas ocasi osmosa, mo.		1		
Kami Turturro	DNJ -	3/30/2007	,07-cv-01523	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
V.	Camden	1		Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Dor
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods	·		•	
Midwest Corp., Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.	1			·
Peggy Schneider	DNJ -	4/2/2007	07-cv-01533	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
V.	Camden	1,2,2001	07-07-01000	Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Dor
Menu Foods Limited, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods			1 .	
Midwest Corp.	i	1	1	
In the Difference of the Control of	511	4/0/00007	07 . 04 504	
Jayme Pittsonberger	DNJ - Camden	4/2/2007	07-cy-01561	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corporation, Menu				Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Dor
Foods Income Fund, and Menu Foods Limited	•			
David Carter	DNJ -	4/3/2007	07-cv-01562	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman
<b>v</b> .	Camden			Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Don
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corporation, Menu	-		,	·
Foods Income Fund, and Menu Foods Limited  Jim Bullock	DNJ	4/4/2007	07-cv-01579	Assigned to: Judge Neel I. Billmon
V,	] Pina	4/4/2007	07-07-01579	Assigned to: Judge Noel L. Hillman Referred to: Magistrate Judge Ann Marie Dor
Meriu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Midwest Corporation, Menu		1		The control of the magistrate or or or the many box
Foods Income Fund, and Menu Foods Limited			ļ	
District of Rhode Island	ļ <u></u>	2 (07) (20 07	45 50115	
Carol Brown	DRI - Providence	3/27/2007	07-cv-00115	Assigned to: Judge Mary M Lisi
v. Menu Foods Inc, Menu Foods (ncome Fund, Menu Foods	Providence	!		Referred to: Magistrate Judge Lincoln D. Almond
Midwest Corporation, Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.		i	İ	Palitoria
			· ·	
		ļ		
Frank Park and A. C. T.	<del> </del>			,
Eastern District of Tennessee Lizajean Holt	EDTN -	3/19/2007	07-cv-00094	Assigned to: Honorable Thomas W Phillips
f.	Knoxville	0/13/2001	07-04-00054	Referred to: Magistrate C Clifford Shirley
Menu Foods Inc.				Training to magical and of this or a series
	<u> </u>	}		
	<u>,</u>	<u>.</u>		
lorthern District of Illinois Dawn Majerczyk	NDIL -	2/20/2007	07 04540	Applement Applement Applement D. Applement
Jawii Majorozyk	Chicago	3/20/2007	U7-CV-U1543	Assigned to: Honorable Wayne R. Andersen
Menu Foods Inc.	Oincago			
outhern District of Florida	<u> </u>			
Christina Trolano	SDFL - Ft.	3/26/2007		Assigned to: Judge James I. Cohn
Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods Income Fund	Lauderdale			Referred to: Magistrate Judge Lurana S. Snow
went to day ment to ods medine rund			İ	· · · · · ·
Vestern District of Arkansas				
harles Ray Sims, Pamela Sims	WDAK-	3/21/2007	07-cv-05053	Assigned to: Honorable Jimm Larry Hendren
lenu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods Midwest	Fayetteville	ł		
orporation, Menu Foods South Dakota Inc., Menu Foods		Ì	[	
ic., Menu Foods Holdings, Inc.			1	
<u> </u>				
ichard Scott Widen, Barbara Widen	WDAK -	3/23/2007	07-cv-05055	Assigned to: Robert T. Dawson
	Fayetteville	İ		
enu Foods, Menu Foods Income Fund, Menu Foods Gen		ł		
ar Limited, Menu Foods Limited Partnership, Menu Foods				
perating Partnership, Menu Foods Midwest Corporation, enu Foods South Dakota, Menu Foods Inc., Menu Foods		ļ		
oldings, Inc., Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.				
g-, triving 11,501 trimite Order Order 11101				
·	ļ			

Kaplan Fox & Klisheimer LLP 2 of 3

	STATE OF THE STATE	Litaria Maria	NEW COLUMN	
sort: Etc. Capion. Wes	504.1474	En all Marketines		
entre Beschendige van de verkeeld de v	KOO III III II C	10000 4100	0.600ss	spine and a second of the second of the second
Western District of Washington	<del> </del>	<u> </u>		
Tom Whaley v. Menu Foods, The lams Company, Dog Food Producers Numbers 1-50, Cat Food Producers 1-40	WDWA - Seattle	3/19/2007	07-cv-00411	Assigned to: Hon. Ricardo S Martinez
Stacey Heller, Toinette Robinson, David Rapp, Cecily Mitchell, Terrence Mitchell v. Menu Foods, a foreign corporation	WDWA - Seattle	3/27/2007	07-cv-00453	Assigned to: John C Coughenour
Suzanne E Johnson, Craig R Klemann v. Menu Foods	WDWA - Seattle	3/27/2007	07-cv-00455	Assigned to: John C Coughenour
Audrey Kornelius, Barbara Smith /. Menu Foods	WDWA - Seattle	3/27/2007	07-cv-00454	Assigned to: Hon. Marsha J. Pechman
Michele Suggett, Don James /. Menu Foods, lams Company, Eukanuba, Dog Food Producers Numbers 1-100, Cat Food Producers 1-100, Does 1-100	WDWA - Seattle	3/27/2007	07-cv-00457	Assigned to: Hon. Ricardo S Martinez

Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP 3 of 3

# ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

		· Programme of the control of the co	
IN RE PET FOODS PRODUCT	)		
LIABILITY LITIGATION	j	MDL Docket No.1850	
	)		
	j		
	·		

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that I have this day served upon all Parties' counsel of record, or upon the Party if no counsel of record appears, a copy of the within and foregoing "PLAINTIFFS JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER AND JIM BULLOCK'S JOINT MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND COORDINATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C §1407" by causing a copy of same to be deposited in the United States mail, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to the person and entities listed on the attached service list.

This is also to certify that I have this day mailed to the clerk of each United States District Court in which an action is pending that will be affected by the Motion for Transfer and Coordination Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 a copy, for purposes of filing in said Court, of the within and foregoing "PLAINTIFFS JAYME PITTSONBERGER, DAVID CARTER AND JIM BULLOCK'S JOINT MOTION FOR TRANSFER AND COORDINATION

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C §1407" by causing a copy of same to be deposited in the United States mail, postage prepaid, and properly addressed to the courts listed on the attached service list.

Dated: April 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

KAPLAN FØX & KILSHEIMER LLP

ROBERT KAPLAN LINDA NUSSBAUM CHRISTINE M. FOX

805 Third Avenue, 22nd Floor.

New York, NY 10022 Tel: (212) 687-1980 Fax: (212) 687-7714

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP LAURENCE D. KING 555 Montgomery Street, Suite 1501 San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: (415) 772-4700 Fax: (415) 772-4707

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP WILLIAM J. PINILIS 237 South Street Morristown, NJ 07962 Tel: (973) 656-0222

Fax: (973) 401-1114

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Carter and Bullock

SCHNEIDER & WALLACE TODD M. SCHNEIDER 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94104

Tel: (415) 421-7100 Fax: (415) 421-7105 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

THE MASON LAW FIRM, L.L.P. GARY E. MASON
DONNA F. SOLEN
1225 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 429-2290
Fax: (202) 429-2294

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pittsonberger, Carter, and Bullock

KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMMER & GRAIFMAN
GARY S. GRAIFMAN
210 Summit Avenue
Montvale, NJ 07645
Tel: (201) 391-7000
Fax: (201) 307-1086

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

KARP, FROSH, LAPIDUS, WIGODSKY & NORWIND, P.A.
JEFFREY A. WIGODSKY
1133 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 822-3777
Fax: (202) 822-9722

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

VANEK, VICKERS & MASINI P.C. JOSEPH M. VANEK 111 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 4050 Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: (312) 224-1500 Fax: (312) 224-1510

Attorneys for Plaintiff Pittsonberger

## MENU FOODS SERVICE LIST

# **DEFENDANTS**

Menu Foods Midwest Corporation	Menu Foods Income Fund	
P.O. Box 1046	8 Falconer Drive	
1400 East Logan Ave.	Streetsville, ON	
Emporia, KS 66801	Canada, L5N 1B1	
N		
Menu Foods, Inc.	Menu Foods Limited	
9130 Griffith Mogan Lane	8 Falconer Drive	
Pennsauken, NJ 08110	Streetsville, ON	
	Canada, L5N 1B1	
Menu Foods South Dakota, Inc.	Menu Foods Holdings, Inc.	
c/o The Corporation Trust Company	c/o The Corporation Trust Company	
Corporation Trust Center	Corporation Trust Center	
1209 Orange Street	1209 Orange Street	
Wilmington, DE 19801	Wilmington, DE 19801	
Menu Foods Gen Par Limited	Menu Foods Limited Partnership	
c/o The Corporation Trust Company	c/o The Corporation Trust Company	
Corporation Trust Center	Corporation Trust Company	
1209 Orange Street	1209 Orange Street	
Wilmington, DE 19801	Wilmington, DE 19801	
Mary Reads Occasion Professional		
Menu Foods Operating Partnership	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	
c/o The Corporation Trust Company	c/o The Corporation Company	
Corporation Trust Center	425 W. Capitol Ave., Ste. 1700	
1209 Orange Street	Little Rock, AR 72201	
Wilmington, DE 19801		
Eukanuba	The Iams Company	
One Proctor & Gamble Plaza C-2	One Proctor & Gamble Plaza C-2	
Cincinnati, OH 45202	Cincinnati, OH 45202	
Xuzhou Anying Biologic Technology	Suzhou Textile Import and Export Company	
Development Company Ltd.	201 Zhuhui Rd.	
Wangdian Industrial Pei County Jiangsu	Suzhou, Jiangsu,	
P. R. China, Xuzhou, Jiangsu,	China 215006	
China		

Boca Raton, FL 33432-4809

Tel.: 561/750-3000

#### OTHER PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL IN THESE ACTIONS Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Sims Action: Counsel for Plaintiffs in Scott, et al. Action: Jason M. Hatfield Jeremy Young Hutchinson Lundy & Davis, LLP Patton, Roberts, McWilliams & Capshaw 300 North College Ave., Suite 309 111 Center Street, Suite 1315 Fayetteville, AR 72701 Little Rock, AR 72201 Tel.: 479/527-3921 Tel.: 501/372-3480 Fax: 479/587-9196 Fax: 501/372-3488 Email: jhatfield@lundydavis.com Email: jhutchinson @pattonroberts.com Counsel for Plaintiffs in Scott, et al. Action: Counsel For Plaintiffs In The Schneider, And Workman, et al Actions: Richard Adams Patton, Roberts, McWilliams & Capshaw Donna Siegel Moffa Century Bank Plaza, Suite 400 Trujillo, Rodriguez & Richards, LLP P.O. Box 6128 8 Kings Highway West Texarkana, TX 75505-6128 Haddonfield, NJ 08033 Tel.: 856/795-9002 Email: donna@trrlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Workman, et al, Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Workman, et al. Action: Action: Sherrie R. Savett Robert A. Rovner Berger & Montague, P.C. Rovner, Allen, Rovner, Zimmerman & Nash 1622 Locust Street 175 Bustleton Pike Philadelphia, PA 19103 Feasterville, PA 19053-6456 Counsel for Plaintiff in the Troiano Action: Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Workman, et al, Action: Paul J. Geller Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman Lawrence Kopelman **Robbins LLP** Kopelman & Blankman 120 E. Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500 350 E. Las Olas Blvd., Suite 980

Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301

Tel.: 954/462-6899

#### Counsel for Plaintiff in the Holt Action: Counsel for Plaintiff in the *Holt* Action: Perry A. Craft A. James Andres Craft & Sheppard Nicole Bass 214 Centerview Dr., Suite 233 905 Locust Street Brentwood, TN 37027 Knoxville, TN 37902 Tel.: 865/660-3993 Tel.: 615/309-1707 Fax: 615/309-1717 Fax: 865/523-4623 Email: perrycraft@craftsheppardlaw.com Email: andrewsesq@iex.net Counsel for Plaintiff in the Holt Action: Counsel for Plaintiff in the Whaley, Heller, et al. and Kornelius, et al. Actions: Nicole Bass 905 Locust Street Michael David Myers Myers & Company Knoxville, TN 37902 1809 7th Ave., Suite 700 Seattle, WA 98101 Tel.: 206/398-1188 Fax: 206/398-1189 Email: mmyers@myers-company.com Counsel for Plaintiff in the Majerczyk Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Suggett, et al. Action: Action: Adam P. Karp Jay Edelson Animal Law Offices Blim & Edelson, LLC 53 West Jackson Blvd., Suite 1642 114 W. Magnolia St., Suite 425 Bellingham, WA 98225 Chicago, IL 60604 Tel.: 360/392-3936 Tel.: 312/913-9400 Email: adam@animal-lawyer.com Email: jay@blimlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff in the Johnson, et al. Connsel for Plaintiffs in the Osborne Action: Action: Bruce E. Newman Mr. Philip H. Gordon Newman, Creed & Associates Gordon Law Offices 99 North Street, Route 6 623 West Hays St. P.O. Box 575 Boise, ID 83702 Bristol, CT 06011-0575 Tel.: 208/345-7100 Tel.: 860/583-5200 Email: pgordon@gordonlawoffices.com

Counsel for Plaintiff in the Sexton Action:	Counsel for Plaintiff in the Sexton Action:
Mark J. Tamblyn	Stuart C. Talley
Wexler Toriseva Wallace LLP	Kershaw, Cutter & Ratnoff, LLP
1610 Arden Way, Suite 290	980 9th Street, 19th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95815	Sacramento, CA 95814
Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Thompson, and	Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Tinker Action:
Trautman Action:	
	Alan E. Sash
Gregg D. Trautmann	Mclaughlin & Stern, LLP.
Trautmann & Associates, LLC	260 Madison Avenue
262 East Main Street	New York, NY 10016
Rockaway, NJ 07866	212-448-1100
(973) 316-8100	212-448-0066 (fax)
gdt@trautmann.com	
Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Wilson, Hidalgo,	Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Bonier, et al
Nunez, Golding, Gagliardi, Turturro, and	Action:
Richard, Et Al Actions:	
	Michael A. Ferrara, Jr.
Joseph J. Depalma	The Ferrara Law Firm
Lite, Depalma, Greenberg & Rivas, LLC	601 Longwood Avenue
Two Gateway Center	Cherry Hill, Nj 08002
12th Floor	(856) 779-9500
Newark, NJ 07102-5003	Mferrara@Ferraralawfirm.Com
(973) 623-3000	
jdepalma@ldgrlaw.com	
Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Carter, et al	Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Pittsonberg, and
Action:	Carter, et al Action:
Todd M. Schneider	Gary E. Mason
Schneider & Wallace	Donna F. Solen
180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000	The Mason Law Firm, L.L.P.
San Francisco, CA 94104	1225 19th Street, Nw
(415) 421-7100	Suite 500
(415) 421-7105 (fax)	Washington, D.C. 20036
	(202) 429-2290
	(202) 429-2294 (fax)

### Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Brown Action:

Peter N. Wasylyk

Law Offices Of Peter N Wasylyk

1307 Chalkstone Ave. 1307 Chalkstone Ave. Providence, Ri 02908

(401) 831-7730

(401) 861-6064 (fax)

Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Brown Action:

Andre S. Kierstead

Law Offices Of Andrew S. Kierstead

1001 Sw Fifth Ave., Suite 1100

Portland, Or 97204 (508) 224-6246

(508) 224-4356 (fax)

Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Brown Action:

Marc Stanley Stanley, Mandel, & Iola, Llp 3100 Monticello Avenue, Suite 750 Dallas, Texas 75205

(214) 443-4300

(214) 443-0358 (fax)

Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Heller, et al, and Johnson, et al, and Kornelius, et al Actions:

Steve W. Berman

Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro Llp

1301 5th Ave

Ste 2900

Seattle, Wa 98101

206-623-7292

Steve@Hbsslaw.Com

COURTS			
Clerk of Court U.S. District Court, Western District of Washington 700 Stewart Street Seattle, WA 98101	Clerk of Court U.S. District Court, Western District of Arkansas 35 E. Mountain Street, Suite 510 Fayetteville, AR 72701-5354		
Clerk of Court U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey 4th & Cooper Streets, Suite 1050 Camden, NJ 08101	Clerk of Court U.S. District Court Southern District of Florida 299 E. Broward Blvd., Suite 108 Fort, Lauderdale, FL 33301		
Clerk of Court U.S. District Court, District of New Jersey Martin Luther King Building & U.S. Courthouse 50 Walnut Street Room 4015 Newark, NJ 07101	Clerk of Court U.S. District Court, District of Connecticut 450 Main Hartford, CT 06103		
Clerk of Court U.S. District Court, Central District of California 312 N. Spring St., Rm G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Clerk of the Court c/o Lynn Kamke, Divisional Manager U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Tennessee 800 Market Street, Suite 130	Clerk of Court U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois 209 S. Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60604 Clerk of Court U.S. District Court, District of Rhode Island Federal Building and Courthouse One Exchange Terrace Providence, RI 02903		

# KAPLAN FOX

Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer LLP 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 phone 212.687.1980 fax 212.687.7714 email mail@kaplanfox.com www.kaplanfox.com

April 5, 2007

### **VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS**

Clerk of the Panel
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation
Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building
One Columbus Circle, N.E.
Room G-255, North Lobby
Washington, D.C. 20002-8004

Re: In re Pet Foods Product Liability Litigation - MDL No. 1850

### Dear Clerk of the Panel:

Enclosed for filing, please find the following:

- 1. Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter, and Jim Bullock's Joint Motion for Transfer and Coordination of Related Actions Under 28 U.S.C. §1407;
- 2. Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter, and Jim Bullock's Memorandum of Law in Support of Joint Motion for Transfer and Coordination of Related Actions Under 28 U.S.C. §1407;
- 3. Schedule of Actions Related to Plaintiffs Jayme Pittsonberger, David Carter, and Jim Bullock's Joint Motion for Transfer and Coordination of Related Actions Under 28 U.S.C. §1407; and
- 4. Certificate of Service.

Also enclosed is the computer generated disk required by Rule 5.13. We have enclosed face sheets of the above documents and ask that you file stamp them and return them in the envelope provided.

Christine M. Fox

CMF:lcs encl.

cc: All parties on service list

NEW YORK, NY

LOS ANGELES, CA

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

CHICAGO, IL

RICHMOND, VA